

















Infrastructure "Capacity analysis and solid waste manageme	l efficient issues for water and power supply""	supply, wastewater,
Existing Conditions	Analysis	Proposal
-Existing capacity for purification and treatment sufficient for water demand and wastewater generation	-Sufficient capacity for purification and treatment to meet water demand and wastewater generatoin in 2027 after completion of on-going and planned projects	-Restructuing the water distribution system and service areas for water supply and waste water, even in sufficient total capacity. Needs to improve the service quality.
Water Demand and Supply Capacity in 2007	Water Demand and Supply Capacity in 2027	Efficient Issues
Water Demand in 2007:       Supply Capacity in 2007:         4,119,000m3/day       \$,742,000m3/day         18 Water Purification Plant in the Study Area       \$	main         16.000           12.000         12.000           12.000         10.000           4.000         10.000           2.000         10.000           2.000         10.000           2.000         10.000           2.000         10.000           2.000         10.000           2.000         10.000           2.000         10.000           Demand (Scenario 2)         10.000	[Review of water distribution] Six NUCs of 10 of Ramadan, A1 Obour, Badr, 15th of May and A1 Shorouk will have shortage of water supply, though the total supply capacity is adequate for the total demand in 2027. Water distribution will need to be reviewed. [Improvement for quality of water supply] Studies will be necessary to; 1) Review the water leakage, water tariff, and improper water uses for better operation. 2) Monitor the groundwater used in Villages & Small Towns.
Generated Wastewater and Treatment Capacity in 2007	Generated Wastewater and Treatment Capacity in 2027	Efficient Issues
Generated Wastewater in 2007: 3,790,000m3/day	12,000 8,000 10,000	[Review of service area by treatment plant] Three NUCs of 10 of Ramadan, 15th of May and Badr will have shortage of treatment capacity, though the total capacity is enough for the total generated volume in 2027. Collection and treatment system will need to be reviewed. [Improvements of gaulity of wastewater treatment] 1) Provide secondary treatment step of Abu Rawash WWTP 2) Conduct studies for the Treatment system in Villages & Small Towns, Reuse of treated water and Better treatment
13 Watewater Treatment Plant in the Study Area	Capacity ··▲··Generation (Scenario 1) Generation (Scenario 2)	for industrial effluents.













Plann	Planning Framework for Western Development Corridor in 2027												
	Population, W	orkers, St	udents in 2	2006-2027 i	n the Study	Area for P	re-FS						
	Category	Unit	2006	2007	2012	2017	2022	2027					
Population	ו	1,000	4,058	4,197	4,944	5,637	6,320	6,931					
No. of	Primary	1,000	42	43	48	53	60	64					
Workers	Secondary	1,000	335	343	388	440	505	539					
	Tertiary	1,000	587	601	678	758	849	907					
	Total	1,000	964	987	1,114	1,251	1,413	1,510					
No. of	Pri., Pre., and Sec	1,000	674	669	865	1,091	1,337	1,647					
Student	University	1,000	255	262	277	300	330	386					
	Total	1,000	928	931	1,142	1,391	1,667	2,033					
✓Framew	ork based on the ma	ster plan f	or the GCR i	n the 1 <sup>st</sup> ph	ase								
	8.00	2,860	4,0:	58 4,1	97 4,9	44 5	,637 (	6,320 6,931					
	7,00	5 <u> </u>					155	199					
	6,00	2	30/	36	65	106	000008314	1,068					
	5,00	20	157	177	nnnn 341 mm	965	1,099	1,216					
	3,00	27	639	663									
	2,00	427 <u></u> ) ⊨	3 232	3.321	3,716	4,001	4,236	4,448					
	1,00	2,386	0,202										
		1996	2006	2007	2012	2017	2022	2027					
Population	n by built-up area in 2027	E	Main agglomerat	tion □ Villages &	k small towns 🛚	6th of October	🛚 Al Sheikh Zay	ed					



Candidate Routes for Pre	-F	easibility Study		
Eight Options of Transport Route		Description of Eight C	ptions	
Origin of Roads Optime 1842 - Statistics Oblives Law H Optime 1844 - Cales University Stations (Selens Law 1) Optime 1844 - Ald Proposed Research March Tarilla		Name of Option	Length (km)	Mode
Option 7 Al Andre Sternen Chiere Line 31 Option 5 Chiere Statem Chiere Line 31	1	Bus Plan	35.9	Bus
The second secon	2	Railway Plan on the Same Track of Option 1	35.9	Rail
Center 144	3	Bus Plan	40.73	Bus
Gent -	4	Railway Plan on the Same Track of Option 3	40.73	Rail
e the stall	5	Extension of Metro 4 to 6 <sup>th</sup> of October	35.19	Rail
Catera	6	Extension of Metro 4 to 6 <sup>th</sup> of October	32.31	Rail
XAN	7	Railway Plan on Extension Road of North Expressway	39.99	Rail
	8	Utilization of Egypt Railway Plan	66.39	Rail
2 U SQ				
Availability of Reasonable price Availability of Availability of all Standard of living means of service and health care educational transportation utilities services services				
People's Perception for Conditions to Move to NUC				

	Compa	rative Ar	nalysis	for Alte	rnative	e Route	es
	Name of Option	Magnitude of Traffic Demand in 2027 ('000)	Urban Develop- ment Direction	Construc- tion cost (US\$ mil.)	Socio- Environ- mental aspect	Invest ment per pass. (US\$/Pa.)	Overall Evaluation
1	Busway Plan on 26th of July Road	324	Point to point	545	A little	2,330	Not recommended
2	Railway Plan on 26th of July Road	620	Point to point	977	Some	1,330	Not recommended
3	Busway Plan on Saft El Laban Axes and 26th of July Road	318	Point to point	578	Little	2,510	Recommended as short term plan
4	Railway Plan on Saft-El Laban Axes and 26th of July Road	660	Point to point	969	Many	1,240	Not recommended
5	Extension of Metro No 4 to 6th of October Road	666	Corridor dev.	1,605	A little	2,030	Recommended as medium & long term
6	Extension of Metro No 4 to 6th of October Road	594	Corridor dev.	1,606	A little	2,270	Alt. Option to Option 5
7	Road and Railway Plan on Extension of North Expressway	324	Point to point	1,004	Some	2,600	Considered Necessary as a point-to-point road
8	Utilization of Egypt Railway Plan	74	Point to point	633	Little	7,190	Not recommended





## Travel Mode, Travel Destination and Travel Time



Sha	Share by "Origin to" and "Destination from" 6th of October											
To 6 <sup>th</sup> of October												
Area	Area Giza Imbaba El Ahram Khalifah Dokki											
Share	are 36.3% 21.2% 19.8% 4.1%											
From 6th	of October											
Area	Giza	El Ahram	Maadi	Shubra	Dokki							
Share	39.7%	39.7%	7.9%	3.2%	1.6%							

Last

Mode

3.1

Share by Tra	nsport Mode	Travel Time and Fare of 6th	of Octobe	r Residen	ts
Transport Mode	Share (%)	Item	First	Linked	
Shared Taxi	88.0		Mode	Trip	
Taxi	3.4	Walking Time to/from Mode (min.)	5.0		
Minibus	2.8	Waiting Time		13.2	
Passenger Car	2.2	Walking Time for Transfer		2.1	
Bus	0.8	Waiting Time for Transfer		7.6	
		Vehicle Time		73.8	
		Fare		3.0 LE	Γ

✓High rate of shared taxis (88%) for trips

✓Very limited use of cars.

Main destinations of 80% to Giza (36%), Pyramids area (20-40%) and Imbaba (20%),
 Very long travel time of 104 minutes (incl. 74minutes for vehicle trip)









Evaluation of Suitable Railway System									
_	Comparison by Thre	ee Railway Systems							
Evaluation Item	Heavy Rail	Linear Motor	Monorail						
Compatibility with Route Condition	Good for any alignment	Good for elevated /underground	Good for elevated						
Transportation Capacity	Large	Smaller than Heavy Rail	Smaller than Heavy Rail						
Easiness of Viaduct Construction	Girder type mitigates traffics	Girder type mitigates traffics	Easy construction						
Travel Distance	within 100km	within 40km	within 30km						
Noise, Vibration and Scenery	Noisy (protection measure required)	Smaller noise due to no traction gear	Smallest because rubber tire use						
Overall Evaluation	Most appropriate to 6 <sup>th</sup> Oct Line	Less than Heavy Rail (Difficult to maintain at- grade for most part.)	Less than Heavy Rail (not so favorable for at- grade section as it shall require a girder.)						
Example									

Т	rain O	peration (	Condition and Co	nstruction S	tandard	I
A	10		Phase 1 and Phase 2 of Rai	ilway√15.2km in 1 <sup>st</sup> Pha √44.5min from CB	ase and 25.3kn BD to 6 <sup>th</sup> of Octo	n in 2 <sup>nd</sup> Phase ober
and the second	1		Basic Ope	ration Conditions for	Railway	
1	Sec.		ltem	Unit	Phase I (2017)	Phase II (2027)
Ph	ase 2	Phase 1	Max Traffic Volume	pax/hr/way	20,272	30,940
[編] [2]			Transport Capacity	pax/hr/way	20,300	31,000
Basic C	Construction m	Standard Specification	Traveling Time	min.	24.5	<b>44.5</b> (Express) 61.0
Track Gauge		1,435mm				(Ordinary)
Design Max S Operation Ma Min Radius O	Speed ix S. of Curve	120km/h 110km/h	Scheduled Speed	km/h	37.2	54.6 (Express)
Main Line Platform		R=600 m R=400 m				(Ordinary)
Side Track,	Depot	R=100 m	Trains per Day	train/day/direction	153	159
Max Gradient		35 ‰				
Car Depot		Level	Train Headway (peak hr)	min.	5	4.5
Min Vertic. Ra	adius of C.	3,000 m	Cars per Train	car/train	6	8
Main Line Gro	ound	Ballast Track	(Nominal pax. per car)	(pax./car)	(942)	(1,266)
Platform Lend	gth	170 m	Required Train Set	set	14	26
Feeding Line	Volt.	DC 1,500 V	(excl. reserved)	(set)	(2)	(3)
Power Collect	tion S.	Overhead Catenary	Required No. of Car	car	84	208



	Preliminary Cost Estimate and Construction Schedule																			
	Pre	limin	ary C	cost l	Estin	nate				-										
- [	Item			Rec	quire	ment	Co	ost (m	il. US	SD)	✓ Opened in 2017 (1 <sup>st</sup> Phase) and 2022 (2 <sup>nd</sup>									
	Construction Costs (Phas	se I)		15.2 km			721.8			Phase)										
	Construction Costs (Pha	se II)		25.3 km				270.3				000	ioni	anno	untos	<i></i> , .	0.11		-	
	Cars Costs (Phase I)			84 cars					13	4.4										
	Cars Costs (Phase II)		1	24 ca	ars			19	8.4											
	Power Station/Distributio		W	'hole	line			14	6.0											
	Signaling and Telecomm	ion	W	hole	line			9	8.0											
	Car Depot				Whole line			36.0												
	Contingency and Management						30	4.9	1											
	Custom Duties (Total to the above)			191.0																
	Total Costs				2,100.8															
				С	Construction Schedule															
I	Phase 1	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	]			
I	Project approval																			
2	Various formalities	-																		
I	Basic design and bidding	4																		
9	Civil & architectural works	4																		
1	Electrical & mechanical works	-																		
ł	Training & test	-																		
2	Phase 2	-															1			
l l	Project approval	1																		
1	Various formalities	1																		
i i	Basic design and bidding	1																		
l	Civil & architectural works	1																		
I	Electrical & mechanical works	1													1					
ľ	Fraining & test																			
2	Start of Operation																			





		Rout	e Layout of	Busway	✓Five	types of cross see and bus sta
Station	Terminal	Type 1	(ant <mark>)</mark>	Type 1	1991 - Daniel Barriel, 1991 - Daniel Barriel, 1997 - Daniel Barriel, 199	
1.0		12	8	1919 2		Charles and
-			ana ta			Contraction in
	Yerneen	N. anne 216	ani Witerana Ali	And an of the		Aprenenda-
Structure	anananan an San Saranan	Type 4	Type 1	Type 4	Type 1	Type 4 Type 2
Station	Type 2		Type 3	т	Type 3	
		amonomonomo <sup>(19</sup> 16886)	adalah dalam da Angle dalam		2. St. 2	
4.00	din baadbaa	A ACLISED BUILDING				
	I market and	n seal a seal a seal a sea sea sea sea sea sea sea sea sea s	-1. <b>mm</b>	I when the total of the		Badada kata
		Contraction of the second	1.00 g 23.00			
-		alagar <sup>ename</sup> haa	and frames. And the second	****	90 M 90 90 90 M 90 90 90 90	****
Station		Type 1 Type 4 Type 1	T 2	Type 3		
Station	Type S	o an	Type 3	Libbe 4	1040-02-024	
		ברויצו ביבים. ביו מי בעי שיויע מי בעי ביו על בי ויער בי	and a log and <b>resta</b> rge by the broat hirds a f	is and the source of the source of the	on second with g	50 8 355955 
**	<b>**</b>	· Francisca - F	stabald stated as	- <b>F</b> -1-1-1-1-1-1	en Lotio de dest	Terescond"
**			statet <b>T</b> ated av	h Tababa (a. 14) 50	Ferkheidsed	
		. <b>T T</b>		5-F-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-	Federal and	
itructure Station	Type 4		Type 4	Type 4	Feterbolist	
Structure Station	Type 4		Type 4	a Suran Su		Terminal
Structure Station	Type 4	раниции и продокти и Продокти и продокти и про	A set of a s	Second		
Structure Station	туре 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	2 туро 4 Туро 4 2 туро 2 туро 2 туро 3 туро 4 2 туро 2 туро 3 туро	Type 3 Type 3 Ty	Type 4		
Structure Station	Type 4	Type 4	Type 3 Type 3 Type 3 Type 3 Type 3 Type 4 Type 4 Ty	Solution of the second se		
Structure Station	Type 4	Type 4	Type 3	Type 4		
Structure Station Structure	Type 4	Type 4	Type 3 Type 3 Type 3 Type 3 Section (Type 4 for Elevated	Type 4	n (Type 1 for elev	

















![](_page_21_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_0.jpeg)

	Busway	Railway
Initial En	vironmental Evaluation (IEE)	
Purpose	Screen in accordance with Egyptian and JICA social-env 1) the potential impacts and 2) the necessity of environmental impact assessment acco	ommental guidelines; rding
Outcome	<ol> <li>Definitely environmental benefits on ambient air, taffict of</li> <li>Local adverse impacts should be studied in Pre-EIA</li> </ol>	onditions, and greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions
Pre-Envir	onmental Impact Assessment (pre-EIA)	
Purpose	Identify (i) environmental issues and (ii) recommendations	for EIA in the implemenatation stage.
Adverse Impact	<ol> <li>Impacts largely related to construction phase and to be mitigated to some extent</li> <li>Relocation in the limited areas</li> </ol>	<ol> <li>Impacts largely related to construction phase and to be mitigated to some extent</li> <li>No possibility of mitigation for Change in landscape and the living environment typically along Pyramids Rd.</li> </ol>
Conclusion	<ol> <li>Local adverse impacts as Relocation of few activities a</li> <li>Social and environmetal benefit on air pollution, mobilit</li> </ol>	nd Change in the landscape y, energy saving, and GHG emissions
Recomme	ndations for EIA	
Needs for Futher Study	<ol> <li>Soil survey of the ENR workshops of El Bohouth</li> <li>Social survey in sensitive areas of El Bohouth, Pyramid</li> <li>Mitigation and compensation measures for the adverse</li> </ol>	s Road, and El Bashtir residential zones impacts

	Economic and Financial Analysis											
Economi	ic Analysis											
	Busway	Railway		Urban	Development		Overall					
Benefit	Cost saving by trave	I time and vehicle operation	Increase value added by industry			Increase value added by industry + Cost saving						
EIRR	21.3%	14.1%			17.5%		16.5%					
NPV	LE1,017 million	LE1,360 million	ı	LE2	,285 million		LE3,057 million					
Conclu- sion	Conclu- sion											
Financial Analysis												
	Buswa	у			Railway							
Case	Case 1	Case 2	Cas	se 1	Case 2		Case 3					
Subcidy to Const. Cost	0%	100%	0%		57%		100%					
FIRR	18.46%	35.7%	8.0	4%	12.03%		n.a.					
Fare		Distance-based Fare (d	lue to long tra	vel distance	)							
	0.6LE/time+0	.03LE/km in 2008 (5% increase p	er year simila	ar to actual f	are chane in 2000-2	2006)						
NPV	LE186 million	LE438 million	LE(968	)million	LE5 million		LE1,300 million					
Conclu- sion	Feasible in any case with and without installed	ut subcidy for construction cost d.	Marginally feasible, if more than 57% of infurastructure cost is borne by the purbli sector									
		Conclusi	on									
	1) Ec 2) Busway is comm 3) Subsidy or i	conomically preferable to imple ercially feasible, if part of the ow interest rated fund require	ement the pr invetment co d for railway	ojects as a ost is borne y for sound	whole by the public sec business model	tor						

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)